Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

R. Seth Baker and Richard E. Norman*

Chemistry Department, CNSB-210, University of Louisiana at Monroe, Monroe, LA 71209, USA

Correspondence e-mail: rnorman@ulm.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 102 K Mean σ (C–C) = 0.005 Å Disorder in main residue R factor = 0.073 wR factor = 0.150 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.3

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

Bis(acetato- κ O)[bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine- $\kappa^3 N, N', N''$]zinc(II)–acetic acid (1/2)

The title compound, $[Zn(C_2H_3O_2)_2(C_{12}H_{13}N_3)]\cdot 2C_2H_4O_2$, consists of a five-coordinate trigonal-bipyramidal zinc complex (one N, N', N''-tridentate donor and two O-monodentate donors) and two acetic acid molecules. The acetic acid molecules hydrogen bond with the coordination complex. The coordination complex is located on a twofold axis that passes through the Zn atom and the secondary amine N atom is disordered across that axis. Received 19 October 2004 Accepted 28 October 2004 Online 6 November 2004

Comment

We obtained the title compound as an unexpected crystalline product during a literature preparation of bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (DPA) (Matouzenko *et al.*, 1997). The synthesis of the non-solvated form $[Zn(DPA)(OAc)_2]$ and its ¹H NMR spectrum were previously reported (Wirbser & Vahrenkamp, 1992).



The structure consists of a five-coordinate zinc(II) coordination complex of bis(2-pyridylmethyl)amine (DPA) (bonding to Zn through all three N atoms) with two acetate ligands, and two acetic acid molecules; half of the coordination complex and one solvent molecule comprise the asymmetric unit. The coordination complex is located on a twofold axis that passes through the Zn atom and the secondary amine N atom is disordered across that axis. This disorder renders discussion of distances and angles involving N2, other than the Zn-N distance, problematic. That being said, the other distances and angles of the Zn(DPA) moiety are within the ranges reported for similar complexes (Brand et al., 1996; Glerup et al., 1992; Gross et al., 2000; Wirbser & Vahrenkamp, 1992). The coordination geometry has a degree of trigonality (τ) of 0.983 (Addison *et al.*, 1984); consequently, the geometry is described as trigonal bipyramidal. The Zn-O bond [2.019 (2) Å] is longer than is typical for Zn-acetate complexes; in di(acetato-O)[2,6-bis(aminomethyl)pyridine-N, N', N'']zinc (Molenveld *et al.*, 1999), which is also a fivecoordinate complex with an N₃O₂ donor set, the analogous distances are 1.9623 (14) and 1.9883 (18) Å. However, the Zn-O distance falls into the normal range reported for

C 2004 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

metal-organic papers



Figure 1

View of the asymmetric unit of (I), with displacement ellipsoids shown at the 50% probability level.



Figure 2

View of the symmetry-expanded structure of (I), with H atoms and acetic acid molecules omitted. Both disorder components of N2 are shown.

terminal zinc alkylcarboxylates (mean 2.038 Å; Orpen *et al.*, 1989).

The acetic acid solvent molecule donates a hydrogen bond to O2 [the non-coordinated O atom of the acetate ligand; the O3···O2 separation is 2.563 (3) Å]. The disordered secondary amine N atom donates a bifurcated hydrogen bond to O2 and to the acetic acid solvent molecule [the N2···O2 separation is 2.831 (5) Å, while the N2···O4 separation is 3.146 (5) Å].

Experimental

2-(Aminomethyl)pyridine (10.3 ml, 0.10 mol), Zn powder (20.069 g), and glacial acetic acid (20 ml) were added to 100 ml of 95% ethanol and heated to *ca.* 338 K under nitrogen. Pyridine-2-carboxaldehyde (9.5 ml, 0.10 mol) was added over a period of 2 hr, as were additional Zn (40.1206 g) and acetic acid (40 ml). The mixture was stirred for 5 hr, and then allowed to stand overnight at room temperature. The mixture was filtered. The filtrate was roto-evaporated to a syrup which was made alkaline with excess 5 *M* NaOH. The resultant organic layer was extracted twice with diethyl ether (50 ml each). The ether extracts were combined, dried over MgSO₄ and roto-evapor



Figure 3

View of the symmetry-expanded structure of (I) emphasizing the hydrogen bonding (dashed lines). Only those H atoms participating in hydrogen bonding are shown.

ated to a syrup. This syrup produced large (several cm in length) crystals over *ca*. 15 days.

 $D_x = 1.421 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Irregular fragment, colorless $0.43 \times 0.42 \times 0.37$ mm

3572 independent reflections

2597 reflections with $I > 3\sigma(I)$

Mo K α radiation Cell parameters from 3432

reflections

 $\theta = 2.5 - 30.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 1.09 \text{ mm}^{-1}$

T = 102 K

 $R_{\rm int}=0.020$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.5^{\circ}$

 $\begin{array}{l} h = -12 \rightarrow 12 \\ k = -19 \rightarrow 19 \end{array}$

 $l=-27\rightarrow 27$

Crystal data

$$\begin{split} & [\text{Zn}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}_2)_2(\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{13}\text{N}_3)]\cdot\text{2}\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2 \\ & M_r = 502.83 \\ & \text{Monoclinic, } C2/c \\ & a = 8.703 \text{ (2) } \text{\AA} \\ & b = 13.791 \text{ (3) } \text{\AA} \\ & c = 19.623 \text{ (4) } \text{\AA} \\ & \beta = 93.80 \text{ (2)}^\circ \\ & V = 2350.0 \text{ (8) } \text{\AA}^3 \\ & Z = 4 \end{split}$$

Data collection

Nonius KappaCCD (with Oxford Cryosystems Cryostream cooler) diffractometer ω scans with κ offset Absorption correction: multi-scan (*HKL SCALEPACK*; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997) $T_{min} = 0.631, T_{max} = 0.667$ 6634 measured reflections

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	H atoms: see below
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.073$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o) + 0.004225 F_o ^2]$
$wR(F^2) = 0.150$	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$
S = 1.01	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.36 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
2597 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.44 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
150 parameters	

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Zn1-O1 Zn1-N1	2.019 (2) 2.128 (2)	Zn1-N2	2.161 (4)
$\begin{array}{l} D1 - Zn1 - O1^{i} \\ D1 - Zn1 - N1 \\ D1 - Zn1 - N1^{i} \\ D1 - Zn1 - N2 \\ D1 - Zn1 - N2^{i} \\ N1 - Zn1 - N1^{i} \end{array}$	96.1 (1) 96.81 (8) 99.80 (8) 122.5 (1) 141.4 (1) 155.1 (1)	$\begin{array}{c} N1 - Zn1 - N2 \\ N1 - Zn1 - N2^i \\ Zn1 - O1 - C7 \\ Zn1 - N1 - C1 \\ Zn1 - N1 - C5 \\ C1 - N1 - C5 \end{array}$	77.9 (2) 77.5 (2) 110.1 (2) 125.0 (2) 116.0 (2) 118.9 (2)

Symmetry code: (i) -x, y, $\frac{3}{2} - z$.

Table 2Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D - H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - H \cdots A$
N2-H14···O2	0.99	2.16	2.831 (5)	123
N2-H14···O4 O3-H13···O2	0.99 1.03	2.27 1.54	3.146 (5) 2.563 (3)	146 176

Atom Zn1 is located on a twofold axis and N2 is disordered about, but not on, the twofold axis. Atoms H13 and H14, the H atoms associated with O3 and N2, respectively, were found in difference maps, but were not refined. All of the H atoms were assigned displacement parameters 1.2 times larger than the atoms to which they are bound. The methyl group of the acetate ligand is disordered. The first set of H atoms (H7, H8 and H9) were placed in calculated positions. The second set (H15, H16 and H17) were found in difference maps, but not refined. These six H atoms have half occupancies and were assigned displacement parameters 0.6 times $U_{eq}(C8)$.

Data collection: *COLLECT* (Nonius, 2000); cell refinement: *DENZO* and *SCALEPACK* (Version 1.96.2; Otwinowski & Minor, 1997); data reduction: *DENZO* and *SCALEPACK*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR*92 (Altomare *et al.*, 1993); program(s) used to refine structure: *TEXSAN for Windows* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1997–1999); molecular graphics: *TEXSAN for Windows*; software used to prepare material for publication: *TEXSAN* for Windows.

We thank Frank Fronczek for the data collection and the Louisiana Board of Regents Support Fund for financial support.

References

- Addison, A. W., Rao, T. N., Reedijk, J., van Rijn, J. & Verschoor, G. C. (1984). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. 1349–1356.
- Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C. & Guagliardi, A. (1993). J. Appl. Cryst. 26, 343–350.
- Brand, U., Burth, R. & Vahrenkamp, H. (1996). Inorg. Chem. 35, 1083–1086.
- Glerup, J., Goodson, P. A., Hodgson, D. J., Michelsen, K., Nielsen, K. M. & Weihe, H. (1992). *Inorg. Chem.* 31, 4611–4616.
- Gross, F., Müller-Hartmann, A. & Vahrenkamp, H. (2000). Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. pp. 2363–2370.
- Matouzenko, G. S., Bousseksou, A., Lecocq, S., van Koningsbruggen, P. J., Perrin, M., Kahn, O. & Collet, A. (1997). *Inorg. Chem.* **36**, 2975–2981.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1997–1999). *TEXSAN for Windows*. Version 1.06. MSC, 9009 New Trails Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Molenveld, P., Stikvoort, W. M. G., Kooijman, H., Spek, A. L., Engbersen, J. F. J. & Reinhoudt, D. N. (1999). J. Org. Chem. 64, 3896–3906.
- Nonius (2000). COLLECT. Version 20000706. Nonius BV, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Orpen, A. G., Brammer, L., Allen, F. H., Kennard, O., Watson, D. G. & Taylor, R. (1989). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. pp. S1–83.
- Otwinowski, Z. & Minor, W. (1997). *Methods in Enzymology*, Vol. 276, *Macromolecular Crystallography*, Part A, edited by C. W. Carter Jr and R. M. Sweet, pp. 307–326. New York: Academic Press.
- Wirbser, J. & Vahrenkamp, H. (1992). Z. Naturforsch. Teil B, 47, 962-968.